

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/495,257	5,257 01/31/2000		Richard C Worrell	2151	4623	
25280	7590	12/14/2001				
MILLIKE		1PANY	EXAMINER			
920 MILLII PO BOX 19	26		TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
SPARTANBURG, SC 29304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1771

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	AS-14_				
olicant(s)					
RRELL ET AL.					
Unit					
1					
spondence add	ress				
N FOR ALLOWANCE. n. A proper reply to a laces the application in led Request for Continued					
rejection, whicheve inal rejection. AL REJECTION. S					
and the appropriate The appropriate ext al Office action; or even if timely filed,	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
d set forth in e appeal.	5				
NOTE below);					
ly reducing or s	simplifying the				
ly rejected clair	ns.				
rate, timely filed	d amendment				
ed but does NC	OT place the				
ssues which we	re newly				
will be entered r appended.	and an				

Advisory Action

			, ,	1 \	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/495,257	WORRELL ET AL.			
Examiner		Art Unit			
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres

THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which place condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee).

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	е
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	t
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	_
10. Other:	7
TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: there is no evidence to prove that Nielsen's inventionwill not produce a fabric with low hairiness.